PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference D-03008 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/DE2004/002716	International filing date (day/month/yea 10.12.2004	r) Priority date (day/month/year) 10.12.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C11D3/37, D06P5/08						
Applicant SASOL GERMANY GMBH						
This report is the international preli- under Article 35 and transmitted to the		by this International Preliminary Examining Authority				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	6 sheets, i	ncluding this cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:					
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total of _	9 sheets, as follows:				
		e been amended and are the basis for this report and/or (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative				
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental						
	Box. b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))					
		, containing a sequence listing and/or tables				
related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of the	report					
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with regard to novelty	, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of uni	ty of invention					
BOX 110. V	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain doc	Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain obs	ervations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completi-	on of this report				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized office	er				
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/002716

Box	No. I	Basis of the report		
1.		n regard to the language, this report is based on the internaticated under this item.	onal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise
		This report is based on translations from the original langua which is the language of a translation furnished for the pur		,
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.	4)	
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and	d/or 55.3)	
2.	recei	n regard to the elements of the international application, thi iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 a report):		
		the international application as originally filed/furnished		
	\boxtimes	the description:		
		pages _ 1-4,7,8,11-16		as originally filed/furnished
		pages* _ 5, 6, 9, 10	_ received by this Authority on	24.12.2005 with letter of 21.12.2005
		pages*	_ received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the claims:		
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*	as amended (togethe	
		nos.* 1-20		24.12.2005 with letter
		nos.*		
	ш	the drawings:		
		sheets		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*	_	
	\Box	sheets*	_ received by this Authority on	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Suppler	mental Box Relating to Sequence L	isting.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amenting have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "su	perseded."	

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/002716

Вох	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-19	YES	
		Claims	_20	NO	
	Inventive step	(IS) Claims	1-19	YES	
		Claims	_20	NO	
	Industrial appl	icability (IA) Claims	1-20	YES	
		Claims		NO	

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - 1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO-A-99/67350

D2: JP-A-2002/142760 (WPI)

D3: US-A-5 486 207

2. Document D1 (see the claims and examples, especially Examples 8 and 9, pages 19 and 22) describes watersoluble polyesters containing glycols, terephthalic acid derivative, more than 20% isophthalic acid and oxyalkylated polyol such as polyethylene glycol (PEG), ethoxylated glycerol or trimethylol propane or pentaerythritol, for reducing dye redeposition in a dye removal process for dyed denim. The molecular weight is not specified. D1 does not disclose terephthalic acid in a proportion of more than 90% or PEG with a molecular weight between 2000 and 8000 as in claim 1 of the present application. D1 also does not describe alkoxylated C_{1-18} alcohols as in claim 4 or the formula claimed in claim 12.

Document D2 describes water-soluble polyesters with a molecular weight between 500 and 100000 containing

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

between 0 and 10 C_{2-10} alkylene glycol units and between 1 and 10 terephthalic acid C_{2-10} alkylene glycol units in a dye removal process for dyed denim. D2 does not disclose PEG with a molecular weight between 2000 and 8000 as in claim 1 of the present application. D2 also does not describe alkoxylated C_{1-18} alcohols as in claim 4 or the formula claimed in claim 12 where n is between 7 and 115.

Document D3 (see the examples and claims) describes water-soluble polyesters containing 2-hydroxyethane sulphonate, terephthalic acid methyl ester, isophthalate, ethylene glycol and propylene glycol. The reaction produces polyetherols with at least 6 oxygen atoms, and the polyester has a molecular weight between 500 and 8000. The product is used to remove dye from dyed cotton fabrics. D3 does not disclose PEG with a molecular weight between 2000 and 8000 as in claim 1 of the present application. D3 also does not describe alkoxylated C_{1-18} alcohols as in claim 4 or the formula claimed in claim 12 where n is between 7 and 115. There is also no mention in D3 of indigo-dyed cotton as in claims 1 and 12.

The subject matter of claims 1, 4, 12 and 19 is therefore novel over documents D1, D2 and D3.

3. The fact that a product is produced by a novel process does not make the product itself novel. The subject matter of claim 20 (an indigo-dyed cotton treated as per claims 1 to 13 and/or claims 16 to 18) therefore lacks novelty, at least in relation to D1

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

and D2. Hence the requirement of PCT Article 33(2) is not met.

4. The problem addressed by the present invention is that of how to reduce dye redeposition in a dye removal process for dyed fabrics, namely stonewashing. No technical effect is demonstrated. The examples describe polyester synthesis processes.

The same problem is described in D1. D2 also relates to stonewashing, whereas D3 relates to the prevention of fading. The subject matter of claims 1, 4 and 12 is thus an alternative to the polyesters used for the same purpose in D1 and D2. The distinguishing features referred to in point 2 above are neither known from nor suggested by D1 or D2. The subject matter of claims 1, 4, 12 and 19 is therefore inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

- 5. Independent claims 1, 4 and 12 fail to meet the requirement of unity of invention.
 - (a) Claims 1, 4 and 12 specify various types of polyester.
 - (b) Claims 1 and 12 specify indigo-dyed cotton, which is not necessary in claim 4. Claim 4 relates to dyed fabric containing cotton fibres.
 - (c) The same problem is described in D1 and D2.

The requirements of PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 are therefore not met.

6. Claim 12 appears to include all the features of claim 1

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/002716

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	and should therefore not be formulated as if it were
	dependent on claim 1 (PCT Rule 6.4).
7.	Claim 7 can only be dependent on claims 4 to 6; claim 9
	can only be dependent on claims 4 to 8; and claim 17 can
	only be dependent on claims 8, 10 and 11. The
	requirements of PCT Article 6 are therefore not met.